



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,347	04/07/2000	Brian M. Perry	2766.1001-001	2092

21005 7590 10/09/2002

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.
530 VIRGINIA ROAD
P.O. BOX 9133
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/54634

Applicant(s)

PARRY

Examiner

Shen, G

Group/Art Unit

3624

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/7/00
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.
- ☐ Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-83 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d):
 - ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 8
- ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Huang(5,953,707) in view of Muraoka(US Pat. 6,317,725).
4. As per claims 1-43 Huang teaches a method of monitoring a supply chain activity(Abstract) of a plurality of sites(Fig 2)(Fig 1)(Fig 8)(Fig 46) on a network(Fig 46/406) and extracting supply related data to be monitored(Fig 5) and collecting this data(col 9 lines 64-col 11 line 15) and uploading this data to a user at a user interface(Fig 3/40).Furthermore, Huang teaches a DSS architecture(Decision Support System) to analyze supply chain efficiencies(col 4 line 45-col 6 line 57).Huang also teaches demand and forecast data(Fig 12/146/148) for the process as well as forecasting and planning (Fig 15) as well as a relational database(Fig 17)(Fig 19/216) and data sets comprising inventory data(Fig 19/182)(Fig 17/182) and legacy databases(Fig 16/136)(Fig 14/136)(Fig 13/136).Huang teaches delivery scheduling(Fig 20/212)

Art Unit: 3624

and replenishment planning(Fig 23/270) as well as all vendor management of replenishment and participation(Fig 24) as well as a distribution network(Fig 27) and heirarchichal sorting(Fig 37) as well as node structures for components, production, inventory, demand(Fig 38) and graphical user display(Fig 43)(Fig 45) of selected domains for status checking(Fig 39) as well as table updating and data display in a tree view(Fig 39) and encrytion online with an SQL server(Fig 47) as well as user authorization procedures(Fig 48) and scenario studies(Fig 54) and estimated behavior to anticipate any shortages or surpluses(Figs 64-67) as well as capacity checking(Fig 61) that is displayed to a user and which would constitute an alert condition(Fig 55).Maraoka teaches periodic prodiction loads over time(Fig 12/S4/S3) and load calculations(Fig 10) and determination if a target goal is achieved(Fig 12/S9)(Fig 7) or else is in an allowable range to determine surpluses and shortfalls(Fig. 13/S11) and accompanying corrections(Fig 13/S12).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Huang in view of Maraoka to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a novel efficient production managment system capably of discerning between currently urgently needed target products and non urgently need products for the future ands to take the necessary corrective actions as enunciated by Maraoka(col 3 lines 17-36).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

Art Unit: 3624

6. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

GRA

October 7, 2002